



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CWP-17839-2014 (O & M)
Date of decision: 14.01.2025

Bakhshish Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is seeking counting of services rendered by him in the India Army w.e.f. 11.01.1968 to 06.09.1969 for the purpose of pension and other retiral benefits and the judgment passed by this Court in **R.S.Dhull vs. State of Haryana and another**, LPA-2016 of 2019, decided on 09.04.20024, placing reliance on the judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Ex.-Captain K.C.Arora and another vs. State of Haryana and others**, AIR 1987 SC 1858, covers his case on all fours. He states that the petitioner having passed away, the family would be entitled to revised family pension and, on instructions, prays that a direction be issued to the respondents to consider the claim of LR's of the petitioner, taking into account the aforementioned judgment, in a time bound manner, to which learned State counsel has no objection.

2. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of LR's of the petitioner in the light of the aforesaid judgment, within a period of six months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to the LR's of the petitioner and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same shall contain reasons and they shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

14.01.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No