

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-33330-2024
Date of decision: August 18, 2025

KARTIK
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA
...Petitioner
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar Chaudhary, Advocate with
Ms. Manju Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Pankaj Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana
with ASI Baglanand.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. At the outset, a pointed query has been put to the learned State counsel as to the reason behind the delay in conclusion of the trial, he, on instructions, has submitted that it was in view of an application moved under Section 311 Cr.P.C to re-examine two of the prosecution witnesses, who have since been examined. It has been further submitted by the learned State counsel that the trial is nearing conclusion with all the witnesses having been examined by the learned trial Court.
2. In the circumstances, this Court is not inclined to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner.
3. Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.
4. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

August 18, 2025	(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
<i>Jaspreet Kaur</i>	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No