

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:068205



108

**CRWP-5211-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.05.2025.**

Rajpreet Kaur

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Kumar Kachura, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner on account of refusing marriage proposal and deciding to study further against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner is major and her Date of Birth is 03.08.2005. She has passed her Senior Secondary Examination in the year 2023 and wants to study further. He further contended that the private respondents are not caring for the same and want to marry her against her wishes with a boy of their choice for which the petitioner is not agreeing. He has contended that the petitioner tried to make the private respondents understand and requested them to allow her for further study. Presently, the petitioner is residing with her paternal aunt and uncle and respondents No.4 to 7 are threatening to

eliminate her and in this regard, she has already moved a representation dated 14.05.2025 (Annexure P-3) before respondent No.2 and she would be satisfied if the aforesaid representation is looked into.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and submitted that she has no objection if the aforesaid representation dated 14.05.2025 (Annexure P-3) is looked into.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2—Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to look into the representation dated 14.05.2025 Annexure P-3, at the earliest, regarding threat perception and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents No.4 to 7. However, it will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

21.05.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No