



CRM-M No.30555-2024 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M No.30555-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.01.2025

Bikramjeet Singh Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Arvinder Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhry, Addl.A.G, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.46 dated 14.02.2024, registered under Sections 279, 336, 337, 304, 338 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 120-B IPC deleted later on), at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala.

2. Allegations are that petitioner (driver) while driving the overloaded offending vehicle-Dumper at a public place in a rash or negligent manner caused grievous injuries to complainant-Veerhans & many other persons & killed Sanjeev Kumar (companion of complainant).

3. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 15.04.2024; final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has already been presented on 14.05.2024; charges were framed by the learned trial Court on 08.11.2024; out of total 31 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined so far; thus, conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the

CRM-M No.30555-2024 2

prayer while submitting that allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature; hence, he does not deserve the concession of bail pending trial.

5. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.
6. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 15.04.2024; final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C was presented on 14.05.2024; charges have already been framed by the learned trial Court on 08.11.2024 and out of total 31 prosecution witnesses, none is examined till date. As the conclusion of trial shall take sufficient long time; therefore, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.
7. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
8. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).
9. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
10. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

23.01.2025
Rajeev (rvs)

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No