



- 1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

**CRWP-5198-2025
Date of decision: 20.05.2025**

SHARDA & ANOTEHR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners and also directing respondent No.4 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further direct respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow respondent No.4 or anybody else to interfere in the live-in-relationship of the petitioners.

2. It is noted that Petitioner No.1 is already married to Respondent No.4, and four children have been born out of the said wedlock.

3. With regard to Petitioner No.2, learned counsel informs the Court that he is also married, however, his first wife is not a party to the present petition and two children have been born out of that wedlock, who are currently residing with Petitioner No.2.

4. Upon being asked by the Court about the means to maintain the responsibility of the six children, along with Petitioner No.1, the counsel for the



- 2-

petitioners clearly submitted that petitioner No.2 is not in a position to maintain the children from his earlier marriage and the four children born with petitioner No.1, who are presently residing with him.

5. In view of the above, this Court finds that the petition has been instituted solely to seek protection for the petitioners' relationship under a protection order of this Court, which cannot be permitted for achieving such objectives.

6. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

		(SANJAY VASHISTH)
		JUDGE
20.05.2025		
amandeep	Whether speaking/reasoned.	: Yes/No
	Whether Reportable.	: Yes/No