



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(208)

CWP No. 16260 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 23.07.2025

Shish Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

Appellate Tribunal-cum-District Magistrate, Mansa and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ishmeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sheery K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanav Singla, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate for respondents No. 3 and 4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes the factum that the petitioner is 58 years old as of now and was 55 years old at the time when the claim was raised under Section 23 of The Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 (hereinafter referred to as '2007 Act'). Learned counsel further concedes the factum that only the senior citizen can avail the remedy under Section 23 of 2007 Act, whereas on the date when the application was filed under Section 23 of 2007 Act, the petitioner was not senior citizen hence, prays that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy before the appropriate authority envisaged under 2007 Act on attaining the age of 60 years in case grievance still exists.



2. Ordered accordingly.

3. It is made clear that in case, after attaining the age of senior citizen the petitioner approaches the authorities under 2007 Act, the said issue will be decided afresh without being influenced by the orders impugned herein.

4. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 23, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No