



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

CWP-17831-2019

Date of Decision: 12.03.2025

Udeek Chand and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate and
Mr. Shourya Mehra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.2-PUNBUS.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of action of respondents-State in preparing the merit list (Annexure P-3) against the posts of Conductor against advertisement dated 06.08.2014 (Annexure P-1).

2. After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from the petitioners, submits that the petitioners would be

satisfied at this stage, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to treat the instant writ petition as a representation and decide the same within a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has no objection in regard to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to treat the instant writ petition as a representation and decide the same within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law. In the event, the claim/grievance of the petitioners is declined, respondent No.2 shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. The instant writ petition is disposed of in above said terms.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

12.03.2025
dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No