



**235 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8398-2021
DATE OF DECISION: 27.01.2025**

SANTOSH KUMAR ...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS ... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: None for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner by providing adequate protection to the petitioner and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioner.

petitioner within one week thereafter.

2. Status report dated 25.01.2024 by way of affidavit of Karan Singh Sandhu, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Kharar-1, District SAS Nagar has been filed on behalf of respondent-State, the same is taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to the counsel opposite.

3. The relevant paragraph is reproduced below :-

‘That in the meantime, the petitioner moved a representation (Annexure P-2) in the office of SSP, SAS Nagar pleading his innocence and false implication in the present case/FIR. The inquiry into the aforesaid representation was conducted by SP (Rural), District SAS Nagar wherein, finding the present

**CRWP-8398-2021****-2-**

case/FIR to be registered on the basis of true and correct facts and no further action required on the aforesaid complaint, it had been recommended to file the said representation in the office. After approval of the enquiry report by the then SSP, District SAS Nagar, the said representation (Annexure P-2) was filed in the office. The true translated copy of the report of the deponent dated 04/03/2022 is being annexed herewith as Annexure R-1/T for the kind consideration of this Hon'ble Court.

7. That it is submitted that vide the present petition, the petitioner has prayed for protection of his life and liberty alleging therein that the police officials are unnecessarily harassing him without any cause. It is most humbly submitted that no threat has been assessed to the life and liberty of the petitioner at this stage. It is submitted that a fair and impartial inquiry has been conducted in the representation moved by the petitioner, however, finding the present case/FIR to be registered on the basis of true and correct facts and the averments made by the petitioner in the said representation to be not substantiated with evidence, the said complaint was filed in the office'

Learned counsel for the State further informs that statement of second party has also been recorded and is annexed with the status report.

In view of the status report filed there is no threat perception, hence, the petition stands disposed of as no cause of action is remain to be addressed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

27.01.2025
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>