

2025:PHHC:066908



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

254

CRWP-5813-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.05.2025

Paramjit Kaur**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present:- Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. G. S. Verma, Advocate
for respondents No. 6 to 10.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of respondents No. 6 to 10 and also to take appropriate action against respondents No. 4 and 5, who failed to take necessary action on the complaint of the petitioner.

2. Vide order dated 06.02.2025, on the request of learned counsel for the parties, the matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for exploring the possibility of an amicable settlement between the parties. However, the same could not be materialized.

2025:PHHC:066908



3. Status report has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State. Reply on behalf of respondents No. 6 to 10 has also been filed. Learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, in terms of the status report, has argued that both the parties were called to the police station on 08.01.2024, wherein they started abusing each other. Hence, preventive action under Section 107/150 of Cr.P.C. was initiated against both the parties. Upon receipt of an information regarding admission of the petitioner in Civil Hospital due to sustaining injuries in a quarrel on the intervening night of 29.04.2024 and 30.04.2024, an FIR bearing No. 39 dated 01.05.2024, under Sections 323, 452, 506 and 34 of IPC had been registered at Police Station City Kurali against Ash, Jarinder Singh @ Bablu, Aman and one unknown persons and the same is under investigation. It is further submitted that during inquiry/investigation, no threat perception was found to the life and liberty of the petitioner. The allegations levelled against the police officials are false and baseless and they had performed their official duties in accordance with law. The private respondents, in their reply, have also denied the allegations levelled by the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the factual position. However, it is submitted that the official respondents be directed to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, in case she feels any such threat in future.

5. Keeping in view the fact that appropriate action has already been taken by the police authorities and now there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of as no further orders are called for in the matter. However, it is directed that in case the petitioner

2025:PHHC:066908



approaches the police authorities reporting any threat to her life and liberty, the official respondents shall make adequate arrangements to protect her life and liberty forthwith after assessing the degree of such threat.

19.05.2025

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No