

1

AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10249-2018

Date of Decision : March 04, 2025

.. Petitioner

Versus

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Sethi, Advocate and
Ms. Preeti Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the information sought has not been made available.
2. Upon notice of motion, the respondents have appeared and in the reply, the respondents have mentioned that the information sought which was available with the Department has already been supplied and the information which could not be located, has been agreed to be supplied as soon as the same becomes available.
3. Keeping in view the said stand taken, as no replication has been filed by the petitioner to the said written statement which was filed in May, 2019, no order is required to be passed in the present writ petition.



CWP-10249-2018 **2**

4. However, it is made clear that in case, the record which is sought by the petitioner is not found ultimately, appropriate action including if needed, the FIR will also be registered.

5. The present writ petition is disposed of in above terms.

March 04, 2025

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No