

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(311-1)

CWP-10189-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 09.03.2023

Sukhdev Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 6.

Mr. Daljeet Singh Kahlon, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

CM-8017-CWP-2019

Present application has been filed under Section 151 CPC for placing on record the written statement/reply on behalf of respondent No.7.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Written statement/reply filed on behalf of respondent No.7 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-10189-2018

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the

impugned order dated 12.04.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.4.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.7 have jointly submitted that till date the petitioner has challenged only the letter issued by the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Attari, Amritsar to the District Development and Panchayat Officer, Amritsar and the proceedings against the present petitioner are yet to be initiated.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said contention. None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 28.09.2022.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present petition or to file an appropriate petition/proceeding in accordance with law.

Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the above-said order.

March 09, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No