

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:068108



105

CRWP-5167-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.05.2025.

Sonia and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-715-2025

Prayer made in the application is for placing on record the amended memo of parties as in the earlier memo of parties the age of petitioner No.2 was wrongly mentioned.

Application is allowed. Amended memo of parties is taken on record, subject to just exceptions.

Main case

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Sonia, aged 18 years and petitioner No.2 – Sagar, aged 22 years and have got married on 15.05.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 8.. The copies of Aadhaar card of petitioners (Annexures P-1 and P-2) have been

placed on record. Copy of marriage certificate as well as the marriage photographs have also been placed on record as Annexure P-3 and P-4.

It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Mr. Arjun Lakhanpal, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 15.05.2025 (Annexure P-5) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

21.05.2025

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No