



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

**CRM-M-27373-2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 05.08.2025**

LALIT KUMAR

...PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS with the prayer for issuance of direction to the investigating officer to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail in event of their arrest in case FIR No. 2 dated 02.01.2025 registered under section 316(2), 318 (4) of The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, (61, 238, 336 (3) BNS added later upon) at Police station Cyber Crime, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1), keeping in view the facts and circumstances mentioned in the petition.

On the last date of hearing, the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail subject to joining investigation.

Today, learned State Counsel on instructions from Investigating Officer informs the Court that though the petitioner has



joined the investigation but he is not co-operating and has withhold the device with which the alleged SIM cards were activated.

In view of submission made by learned State Counsel, learned Counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner will surrender the said device if again called for joining the investigation.

In view of the above undertaking, irrespective of the outcome of the earlier proceedings so far, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation who shall surrender the above mentioned device and shall report to the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today and in the event of arrest (if he handover the device to the IO) he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS of which are reproduced below :-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

- (i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;*



(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.'

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner fails to handover the above mentioned device to the Investigating Officer, this order granting him anticipatory bail shall automatically stand cancelled.

The petition in the aforesaid terms stand allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

05.08.2025
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*