

241

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-958-2021 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 23.05.2025**

HARPREET SINGH @ LALLI AND ANR. ...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.S. Gandhi, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CRM-37738-2022

This application has been filed for compounding of the offences as the matter has been compromised between the parties vide compromise deed dated 02.05.2022 (Annexure A-1).

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

For the reasons mentioned in the application and keeping in view the submission made by counsel for the parties, the application is allowed and the offence involved in the present FIR are compounded.

**Main case**

The petitioners have approached this Court being aggrieved of Judgment and order of sentence dated 20.8.2021 passed by the Sessions Judge, Moga whereby the Sessions Court, reversing the judgment of acquittal dated: 10.7.2019 passed by the Court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Baghapurana, have convicted the petitioners and have sentenced them to undergo RI for two years u/s 420 IPC and to pay a fine of Rs. 5000/- each and in default of payment of fine, the petitioners would further undergo RI for three months.

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the present petition for quashing of the impugned order.

Vide order dated 21.04.2025, parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court and report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise was called for.

The report dated 09.05.2025 has been received from Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Baghapurana, stating that the parties have entered into a compromise, which is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsel, for the petitioner submits that since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, therefore, the parties may be permitted to compound the offence; and by setting aside the judgments/orders passed by the Courts below, the petitioner be ordered to be acquitted of the charges.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent does not dispute the compromise arrived at between the parties. He has

expressed his no objection for compounding of the offence as prayed by the counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, finding the prayer of the petitioner to be genuine and in view of the fact that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, this Court finds that it would not be unjustified if the offence, for which the petitioner has been convicted, is permitted to be compounded.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is allowed. Necessary permission for compounding of offence, for which the petitioner was convicted and sentenced by the Court below, is granted.

As a result of compounding, the impugned Judgment and order of sentence dated 20.8.2021 passed by the Sessions Judge, Moga whereby the Sessions Court, reversing the judgment of acquittal dated: 10.7.2019 passed by the Court of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Baghapurana, is hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

The present petition is allowed accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

23.05.2025
anuradha/sham

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>