



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

CRM-M-27349-2025
Date of decision: 03.11.2025

JAGTEJ SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Paramjit Singh Bal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocate for the LR's of the complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 251 dated 14.11.2020, registered under Section(s) 302, and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar, Nabha, District Patiala.

Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocate appears on behalf of the LR's of the complainant and files his power of attorney in the Court today and the same is taken on record.



CRM-M-27349-2025

-2-

It is pointed out by learned Counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has completed nearly 05 years of actual custody and the prosecution evidence has so far not been concluded.

Learned State Counsel however, contends that the earlier bail petition filed by the petitioner viz. CRM-M-35659-2023 was dismissed on 06.02.2025 after noticing that 12 prosecution witnesses remained to be examined. A direction was issued to conclude the trial expeditiously and preferably within a period of four months and that as many as 10 witnesses have been examined and only 02 prosecution witnesses remain to be examined. He contends that the case is now fixed before the trial Court for recording of the testimony of the remaining prosecution witnesses on 13.11.2025.

Taking into consideration the advanced stage of case and also that the earlier bail petition was dismissed on 06.02.2025, I refrain from commenting on the merits of the present case. Further, noticing the period of custody already undergone, the trial Court is directed to conclude the prosecution evidence within a period of 01 month of the date next fixed before the trial Court for recording of the prosecution evidence (in case the prosecution evidence is not complete on the next date of hearing).

With the above direction, the present petition is disposed of at this stage.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 03, 2025
Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No