



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

CWP-2616-2011 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.09.2025

Kesar Singh Chandla

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam Limited and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the non-applicants/respondents.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

CM-11389-CWP-2025

Prayer in this application filed under Section 151 read with Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for hearing the main case along with the present application.

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the main case is taken on board today itself.

CWP-2616-2011 (O&M)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of J.E.-I from the date his juniors



were promoted along with consequential benefits and further to consider the case of the petitioner for giving him promotion to the post of A.E. and accordingly further revising the pensionary benefits after promoting him. Another prayer has been made to direct the respondents to grant revised pay scales to the petitioner as per the circular letter dated 24.02.1998 and also for granting increments one each on completion of 8 and 18 years of service and also for further grant of higher standard pay scale after 10/20 years of service and for giving revised pensionary benefits to the petitioner accordingly.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment passed by this Court in **CWP No.23470 of 2010**, titled as ***Chhotu Ram and others vs Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others***. He further submits that the petitioner, though retired in the year 2007, is entitled to the benefit of the second Assured Career Progression (ACP) in the year 2008.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, at the very outset, submits that for giving the benefit of qualifying service even the work-charge of the petitioner has been counted. He further submits that the petitioner has been extended all the benefits including 1st ACP, revised pay-scales and other admissible service benefits. He further submits that the case of the petitioner would be considered in terms of the judgment rendered by this Court in ***Chhotu Ram's case (supra)***, and further the claim of the petitioner with regard to his promotion from the date his



juniors were promoted shall also be considered in accordance with law and order in compliance thereof, would be passed within a period of three months and in case the petitioner is found eligible, notional benefits shall be released in his favour.

4. In view of the joint statement made by learned counsel for the parties and the undertaking given on behalf of the respondents, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the petitioner’s claim in terms of the judgment rendered in *Chhotu Ram’s case (supra)* and pass a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found eligible, the consequential notional benefits shall be released in his favour without any further delay.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

04.09.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No