



**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14339-2025

Date of Decision: 22.05.2025

G4S SECURE SOLUTIONS (INDIA) PVT LTD. ... PETITIONER

VS.

BHAGWATI DEVI AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Labour Court, whereby management was directed to produce certain record.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an application filed by respondent-workman for production of certain documents has been allowed, which should not have been allowed as those documents are not relevant for adjudicating upon the issue in hand. He further submits that the existence of master and servant relationship has not been denied and further, it is the case of the petitioner that the services of the respondent-workman were never terminated and she herself abandoned the job. Therefore, the record is being forced to be produced is not useful for the purpose of adjudication of the claim of the respondent-workman.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record with his able assistance.

4. The record has been summoned by the Court to satisfy itself so as to adjudicate upon the issue persisting between the parties in a proper manner. It is not denied that the record summoned is with the petitioner. Once, the record is with the petitioner, presenting the same on the direction of the Court when the Court deems that the same is relevant, the said record shall be produced, merely that the petitioner deems that the said documents are not relevant, will not serve any purpose.

5. No ground is made out to interfere in the present petition and the same is dismissed.

22.05.2025

smriti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No