



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.27284 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.05.2025**

Sandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Tejas Ahlawat, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Bali, Additional Public Prosecutor, UT, Chandigarh
for the respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

CRM No.23169 of 2025

Application for preponing the date of hearing in the main petition, which is stated to be pending for 02.07.2025, on the premise that matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. applicant/petitioner as well as *de facto* complainant.

Notice of the application to the non-applicant/respondent.

Mr. Ankur Bali, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, U.T., Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/respondent and raises no objection to the present application.

2025:PHHC:074272



In view of the above and for the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Main case is preponed and taken on Board today itself.

MAIN CASE

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*for short* 'BNSS') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.77 dated 12.04.2025 (P-1), under Sections 115(2), 126(2) and Section 109 read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (*for short* 'BNS') and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sector 31, Chandigarh.

(2) Custody Certificate dated 29.05.2025 of the petitioner has been produced and which is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the opposite side. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

(3) Allegations are that petitioner, along with co-accused, in furtherance of their common intention, gave beatings to the *de facto* complainant and fired gunshots with an intention to kill him.

(4) Contends that petitioner is in custody since 12.04.2025 and now the matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as *de facto* complainant.

(5) Learned Counsel for the U.T., Chandigarh, on instructions from ASI Pawan Kumar is not disputing the factum of compromise.

(6) Heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

2025:PHHC:074272



(7) It is not in dispute that petitioner is in custody since 12.04.2025 and matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as *de facto* complainant at their own.

(8) In view of the above, there is no justification to prolong the incarceration of petitioner any further.

(9) Consequently, present petition is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(10) Petitioner shall fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

(11) The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of case.

(12) It is clarified that in case there is any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, U.T., Chandigarh would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

29th May, 2025
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No