



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CWP-17011-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.07.2025

Sehajpreet Dhingra

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the order dated 21.11.2019, Annexure P-13 vide which the claim of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate basis has been rejected.
2. Learned counsel submits that the father of the petitioner, who was working as Sub-inspector, had passed away on 22.11.2015 during period of extension in service as he had retired on 31.01.2015, on attaining the age of superannuation. The claim has been wrongly rejected based on letter dated 29.03.2017, vide which instructions dated 27.12.2016 had been withdrawn, as on the date of death of his father, the instructions dated 27.12.2016 were in operation and as such, his claim ought to have been considered in terms thereof. In this regard, he relies on the judgment passed by this Court in **Ajay Kumar vs. State of Punjab and Others**, CWP-20693-2019, decided on 12.09.2019 involving the same issue, whereupon the petitioner therein was granted the appointment on compassionate grounds. He thus, on instructions prays that a direction may be

given to the respondents to reconsider his case, keeping in view the aforesaid submissions in a time bound manner

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to reconsider the case of the petitioner, in terms of the instructions dated 27.12.2016, taking note of the judgment referred to above, within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

08.07.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No