

2025:PHHC:044959



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222-2

CWP-17760 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 02.04.2025

VEENA RANI

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE PUNJAB STATE SUPPLY & MARKETING FEDERATION AND
OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present :- Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Additional AG, Punjab.

Ms. Pushpanjali Bisht, Advocate for
Mr. T. S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Deepinder Singh Nalwa, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 16.07.2014 (Annexure P-9) vide which, punishment of stoppage of two annual grade increments with cumulative effect was imposed along with recovery of 50% of Rs.7,51,524/- and the order dated 24.07.2015 (Annexure P-10) passed by respondent No.1-Appellate Authority whereby, the said appeal was partly allowed, modifying the order of punishment to the extent of stoppage of one annual grade increment without cumulative effect.

**CWP-17760 of 2019****:2:**

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at the time of filing the present writ petition, no remedy of revision was available under the rules. However, during the pendency of the writ petition, a remedy of revision has now become available under Rule 14-D of the Markfed Punishment & Appeal Rules, 1990. He further submits that liberty may be granted to avail remedy of revision, which is now available.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents does not oppose the prayer made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

4. In view of the above said facts, liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach the competent authority by way of filing the revision petition challenging the above said orders. In event, the petitioner files a revision petition before the appropriate authority within a period of 30 days from today, the same be considered and decided in accordance with law.

5. Writ petition is disposed of in above said terms.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

02.04.2025

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No