



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-27230-2025

Date of decision: 04.08.2025

RAVI SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Bhavyadeep Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 36 dated 22.04.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Sanaur, District Patiala.

2. On 19.05.2025, the following order was passed:-

“[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.36 dated 22.04.2025, registered at Police Station Sanaur, District Patiala, under Sections 316(2) & 318(4) of the BNS, 2023.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matter is civil in nature but given the colour of a criminal case. He further contends that the petitioner has no role in the present case and the FIR has been registered in order to recover the costs of some construction, which the complainant is alleged to have raised on the land belonging to father of the petitioner. The petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

[3] Notice of motion.

[4] On advance notice, Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file status report.

[5] Adjourned to 04.08.2025/04.08.2025/04.08.2025/04.08.2025.

[6] In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Area Magistrate and also subject to the following conditions:-

(i) That the petitioner shall further make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.



(ii) That the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
(iii) That the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Trial Court/CJM concerned.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Kulwinder Singh, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 19.05.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of above, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 19.05.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

04.08.2025

jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No