



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-5096-2025
DECIDED ON: 16.05.2025**

RADHIKA AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Gurepreet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners

Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents no. 2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondent nos.4 to 10 with a further prayer to decide the representation dated 14.05.2025 (Annexure P-3).

Learned State counsel submits that a speaking order dated 15.05.2025 has been passed on the representation submitted by the petitioners. A copy of the same has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioners. The relevant part of the order read as under:-

“Whereas Radhika d/o Bittu r/o house no 457, ward no 22, vishkarma nagar moga & Omkar Singh s/o Nirmal Singh r/o house no 175, ward no 22, basti sadha wali moga PS City South Moga had moved a writ petition bearing number CRWP-5096-2025 before the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High court Chandigarh. The complainants have mentioned in their complaint that they both are majors and they both

are living happily in live in relationship against the wishes of their parents Bittu s/o Parkash, Lajja w/o Bittu, Ajay s/o Bittu (parents of Radhika) now they are apprehending danger to their lives at the hands of have demanded protection of their life and liberty.

During enquiry, neither of the applicants appeared before undersigned. So ASI Jaswinder Singh 1298/Moga reached at the given address of complainant Radhika where Radhika's mother Lajja was present and she refused from giving any statement in this matter. Where as Omkar Singh's father Nirmal Singh @billa s/o Balvir Singh r/o house no 175 ward no 22 basti sadha wali was present at home who suffered a statement that his son Omkar Singh is already married and is having two sons from his wedlock and he has no objection with live in relation of Omkar Singh and Radhika. He will not interfere in life of the complainants and neither he has given any threats to them.”

In the light of above, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail alternate remedy by way of appeal as per notification No.HOME-HM-40 40SECM/14/2025-2H4/63 dated 23.01.2025 issued by the Department of Home Affairs, Punjab, Government of Punjab (Home-4 Branch).

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

16.05.2025

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>