



- 1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRWP-5098-2025 (&M)  
Date of decision: 21.05.2025

ARJUN AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Arora, Additional Public Prosecutor, UT. Chd.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. In the instant protection petition, on 16.05.2025, following order was passed:-

*“i) Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.*

*ii) It is noticed that petitioner No.1 – Arjun is shown to be a resident of Aheriyaan, Maidu, Hathras, Uttar Pradesh, and petitioner No.2 is stated to be a resident of Bhirawati, Sambhal, Uttar Pradesh.*

*iii) However, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for the last about ten years, petitioner No.1 has been residing at the address mentioned in the Memo of Parties, i.e., House No.1823, Mauli Complex, Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh.*

*iv) Learned counsel for respondent/U.T. is directed to verify the facts regarding the petitioners residence and employment status at the*



*stated Chandigarh address. v) List on 21.05.2025 vi) To be shown in the urgent list.”*

- 2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the instant petition.
- 3. Dismissed as withdrawn.

21.05.2025  
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No