



137

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5092-2025

Date of decision : 16.05.2025

Gurpreet Singh and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.1 to 3 for providing protection to the life, liberty and security to the petitioners, who are married since 14.05.2025 and for further directing respondents No.1 to 3 for restraining respondents No.4 to 6 or any other person from interfering in the married life of petitioners. Further prayer has been made for deciding the representation dated 14.05.2025 (Annexure P-5) made by the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexures P-3 & P-4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have

**CRWP-5092-2025****-2-**

filed representation dated 14.05.2025 (Annexure P-5) before respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl.A.G., Punjab, notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa, to decide the representation dated 14.05.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

16.05.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No