



-1-

272-1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14278-2025

Date of decision:-26.11.2025

Manoj Kumar Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to speaking order bearing Endst. No.5970-5976 dated 01.09.2025.

Relevant extract of the said order is reproduced hereunder:-

“1. Out of total 386 bills as claimed amounts to Rs.98,61,289/-, bills amounting to Rs.79.19 lakhs were found to be technically and financially tenable, and may be considered for payment, subject to availability of budget and completion of prescribed internal approval procedures.

2. Bills amounting to Rs.17.08 lakhs were found prima facie not tenable for payment and therefore, require further verification, including but not limited to vigilance inquiry, Anti –



-2-

272-1

Corruption Bureau (ACB) scrutiny.

3. *Six (06) bills amounting to Rs.2.35 lakhs could not be traced in the departmental records. The petitioner is advised to submit duplicate copies of supporting documentation for further examination and consideration.”*

2. Upon instructions from Sh. Sandeep Kumar, Executive Engineer, PHED No.2, Rohtak, State counsel submits that an admissible amount of Rs.79,19,000/- has been disbursed to the petitioner. Insofar as bills of Rs.17,08,000/- are concerned, he submits that these bills are under verification, which shall be completed on or before 28.02.2026. He has instructions to state that six bills mentioned in the above reproduced order have still not been submitted by the petitioner. In case the petitioner submits the bills with Public Health Engineering Division No.2, Mansrovar Park, Rohtak within a fortnight from today, the same shall also be verified and payment found due shall be disbursed to the petitioner within the aforesaid period.

3. In view of the above development, writ petition is disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

26.11.2025
Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No