



**264(A) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27105-2025

Date of decision: 07.08.2025

PRAMOD KUMAR SHANTILAL PUROHIT AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar Pundir, Advocate with
Mr. Puneet Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sunny Namdev, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Himanshu Choudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.427 dated 13.10.2022 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 506 of IPC registered at Police Station Butana, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 07.05.2025 (Annexure P-2).
2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Babita Soni against the petitioners.
3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court



through her counsel and pleaded that she has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Karnal along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

9. For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this



Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**,
2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.427
dated 13.10.2022 under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 506 of IPC registered
at Police Station Butana, District Karnal and all the subsequent
proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

10. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

07.08.2025
Priyanka Thakur

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No