IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(114) **CWP-14337-2025**
Date of Decision : 08.07.2025

Ramesh Chander …Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 4.

****

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ of *quo warranto* for quashing the appointment of the private respondent No.5, as Female Constable.

2. During the course of the arguments, it has come on record that private respondent No.5, against whom, a writ of *quo warranto* has been sought, has not been given any appointment, or any other public post is occupied by the private respondent No.5, so far, therefore, the instant writ petition is a misconceived and premature motion.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner, seeks permission to withdraw the instant writ petition, at this stage, with liberty to re-access this Court afresh, in case, the cause of action accrues in future.

4. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, with the liberty as aforesaid, at this stage.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

July 08, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

MANPREET SINGH
2025.07.09 17:53
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment