

**CM-15494-CWP-2024 in/and**  
**CWP-16181-2022 (O&M)**  
**Date of decision: 07.11.2025**

....Petitioners

Versus

## ....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Bhullar, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Additional Standing counsel, and  
Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Additional Standing Counsel,  
for the respondent-U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. G.S. Attariwala, Senior Advocate, with  
Mr. Shobit Phutela, Advocate,  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J.** (Oral)

**CM-15494-CWP-2024**

For the good and valid reasons assigned in the application, the same is allowed. Consequently, the main case is taken up on board today itself.

### Main case

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, with the efflux of time, the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous, and the same may be disposed of, as such.
2. Ordered accordingly.

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

**07.11.2025**  
Ak Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes    |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |