



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M-29438-2024

Date of Decision:- 15.01.2025

Ravi Kumar

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. M.S.Rana, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, Assistant A.G., Punjab.

Mr. H.K.Brinda, Advocate for

Ms. Ruby Kaur, Advocate for complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner – Ravi Kumar has filed petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0012 dated 07.03.2024, under Sections 406, 498-A of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Women, Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar.

2. Petitioner was granted interim bail by the Coordinate Bench vide order dated 05.06.2024, relevant part of which is as under:-

“In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.0012 dated



CRM-M-29438-2024

-2-

07.03.2024 registered under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station Women Police Commissioner, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer”.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner pointed out that petitioner has already joined the investigation. Even the matter has been settled between the parties before Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and terms of settlement are dated 07.01.2025. Petitioner is still ready to abide by the terms of bail order.

4. Learned counsel representing State has already filed status report. It is confirmed that petitioner has joined the investigation.

5. Bail petition filed by petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel representing complainant on account of settlement arrived at between the parties.

6. In view of the aforesaid factual position, no purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars. Therefore, without going on merits of the case, *interim* bail already granted in favour of petitioner – Ravi Kumar vide order dated 05.06.2024 stands confirmed, subject to the conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

7. Petition is accordingly allowed.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

15.01.2025

Sunil Devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No