

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondent-workmen has not come forward despite service and despite best efforts he has not been served in these petitions.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that even as of now, no execution of the impugned award has been filed by the respondent-workmen.
4. Keeping in view the above fact, it seems that the respondent workmen has lost intent in the impugned award hence, the present set of petitions are disposed of with the observation that the petitioners will be at liberty to revive the same in case, the respondent No.2 files an execution so as to execute the impugned award so that respondent No.2 could be served through the said proceedings at that time and the claim of the petitioners qua the award, could be adjudicated.
5. Ordered accordingly.
6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.
7. Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

20-01-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO