



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

RSA-108-2007 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.11.2025

Inderjit Singh Jain

.....Appellant

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Animesh Sharma, Addl.AG, Punjab.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, this Court had directed the Registry to issue notice to the appellant as well as his counsel about the next date of hearing.
2. As per report of the Registry, notice issued to the sole appellant has been received back unserved with the report 'incomplete address' and counsel for the appellant was informed through e-mail.
3. Despite service, none has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the matter. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present petition for want of prosecution.
4. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution. Liberty is, however, granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, if so advised.
5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

11.11.2025
monika

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No