



CWP-11444-2013 (O&M) & connected case -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

**CWP-11444-2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision :13.11.2025**

Adarsh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CWP-15480-2014 (O&M)

Union of India and others

... Petitioner

Versus

Adarsh Kumar and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Raghav Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-11444-2013 and for respondent No.1 in CWP-15480-2014.

Mr. Urvashi Dhugga, Standing Counsel for petitioners
in CWP-15480-2014

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for respondent-UOI.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. By this Common order, above mentioned two writ petitions are being decided.
2. Mr. Raghav Agnihotri, Advocate appears and filed vakalatnama on behalf of the petitioner. Same is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the issue raised in the present petition qua the fixation of seniority of disabled persons, has already been decided by the respondents themselves but the consequential benefits admissible to such beneficiaries are yet to be released hence, the present petition



CWP-11444-2013 (O&M) & connected case -2-

may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate representation with the respondents to claim such consequential relief and the respondents be directed to decide the said representation in a time bound manner.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-UOI submits that in case, any representation is received at the hands of the petitioner, the same will be decided in accordance with law and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of such representation and for whatever relief the petitioner is found entitled, the same will be extended in favour of the petitioner otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed which order will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-UOI, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In terms of above said orders, no action is required to be taken in CWP-15480-2014 and the same is also disposed of.

8. Civil miscellaneous application pending, if any, is also disposed of.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

November 13, 2025

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No