



CRM-M-27047-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Sr. No.203

Case No. : CRM-M-27047-2025

Decided On : June 03, 2025

Man Mohan Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR.

* * *

Present : Mr. Viney Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. :

Prayer in the present petition, filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.83 dated 24.03.2025, under Sections 61, 212(a), 318(4), 319, 336(3), 338, 340 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, registered at Police Station Ambala City, District Ambala (Annexure P-1).

The brief facts of the case, necessary for disposal of the present petition, are that Reader of the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala reported to the police that in a case titled "*Vikas vs. State*", one Mandeep son of Sahib Singh furnished surety bonds on behalf of the accused and he was identified by the present petitioner. It was further alleged that the documents furnished by the said surety were found to be forged. On the basis of these facts, submitted by the Reader of the Court,



the FIR in question was registered and during the course of investigation, the petitioner herein was arrested on 10.04.2025.

Learned counsel for petitioner contended that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he had identified surety Mandeep son of Sahib Singh and besides that, there is no other allegation against the petitioner. He did not produce any forged document in the Court. He had identified the surety only in good faith. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 10.04.2025. No other criminal case is pending against the petitioner and therefore, it has been prayed that he be released on bail.

While issuing notice of motion on 22.05.2025 to the respondent-State, learned State counsel sought time to file Status Report and Custody Certificate, which have been filed today in the Court and the same are taken on record.

Learned State counsel, while referring to the Status Report, has opposed the bail petition while contending that the petitioner had identified Mandeep son of Sahib Singh, whose real name was Sandeep son of Mahinder Pal and thus, he had identified the fake surety to get released the co-accused Vikas, on the basis of forged documents of surety of co-accused Sandeep son of Mahinder Pal. Thus, he is also involved in the offence in the present case. So, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the case file.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he had identified the fake surety, who submitted in the Court the forged documents. There is no



allegation against the petitioner qua submitting of any forged document in the Court. As per the Status Report, submitted today in Court, Challan has already been presented in the Court. As per the Custody Certificate placed on record, the petitioner has already undergone custody of 01 month and 21 days, as on 30.05.2025. Trial of the case is going on and conclusion of the same is likely to take time. So, no useful purpose would be served by further detaining the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted on regular bail, on furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of concerned learned Trial Judge/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail petition.

June 03, 2025

monika

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ?	Yes/No.
Whether reportable ?	Yes/No.