



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

CRWP-5018-2025

Date of decision: 16.05.2025

Nitin Dilawari

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union Territory and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. Vishal Sauda Advocate for the petitioner.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for directing the official respondents to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners and his family members providing adequate protection to the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioner is being harassed since long at the instance of respondent No.4. On 28.04.2025, when the petitioner was present at his house at Naraingarh, District Ambala, private respondents along with other unknown persons forcibly entered into the house by jumping the gate and tried to bring petitioner outside with intention to cause harm. He urged that petitioner was again attacked by respondent No.4 on 29.04.2025 and his car was completely damaged. Respondent Nos. 4 to 9 are threatening the petitioner to eliminate him or falsely implicate in some false case. Learned

counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioner had also filed representation dated 12.05.2025 (Annexure P-4) before the Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, but till date no action has been taken.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that he would be satisfied, in case, the pending representation (Annexure P-4) is decided by respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Samir Rathaur, Addl. Public Prosecutor, Chandigarh, accepts notice and submitted that he has no objection if the aforesaid representation dated 12.05.2025 (Annexure P-2) is decided.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2—Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to look into the representation dated 12.05.2025 Annexure P-2, at the earliest, regarding threat perception and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents No.4 to 9. However, it will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

16.05.2025
jyoti3

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No