



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

Date of Decision: 06.03.2025

1. CWP-20959-2012

RAJ MAL AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

2. CWP-25910-2012

ROOP CHAND SINGLA AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Chandra Sekhar, Advocate for
Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The aforementioned two petitions are based upon similar facts involving common issues; accordingly, the same are being decided together. For brevity, the facts have been taken from CWP-20959-2012.

2. The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 06.04.2007, as well as consequential orders, whereby the benefits of additional increment after completion of eight/eighteen years of service has been withdrawn from the petitioners, reducing their pay to a lower stage.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) fairly contends that the issue raised in these petitions already stands decided by this Court against the



petitioners, vide judgment dated 10.02.2025, rendered in CWP-33222-2024 titled *Nityanand Yadav v. State of Haryana and others*.

4. In view thereof, there is no ground to entertain the petitions and the same stand dismissed.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected file.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

06.03.2025
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>