



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

315

CR-2390-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.07.2025

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. ...Petitioner

V/s

Preeto Devi @ Pritam Devi and others ...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Jashandeep Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate, for respondent No.5.
(through video conference)

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

On 11.07.2025, the following order was passed:-

“At the outset, learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that on one hand, the petitioner is pursuing his remedy under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC, whereas on the other hand, he has preferred an appeal against the ex parte judgment and decree.

Learned counsel for the appellant prays for some time to inquire about the said fact.

List on 29.07.2025.”

2.
- Today, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner despite the case having been called repeatedly.
3.
- Dismissed for non-proseuction.
- Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

July 29, 2025
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No