

2025.PHHC.037941



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

246

**CWP-16772-2021 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 19.03.2025.**

JAI SINGH AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. K.S.Malik-I, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J (ORAL).

The instant writ petition had been filed for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to grant second ACP scale and re-fix the pay and pension as per the rules and release the payment along with interest @ 18% per annum from the date of entitlement of the petitioners till realization.

2025.PHHC.037941



2 Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that the benefit of second ACP scale has been declined to the petitioners for having failed to clear the departmental examination (Kanungo test). He contends that similar controversy was pending before the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in a batch of LPAs including **LPA No.1865 of 2015 titled as 'State of Haryana and others Vs. Parmanand and others'** being the lead case. The said Letters Patent Appeals preferred by the State of Haryana, have been dismissed vide judgment dated 05.03.2025 and the respondent State has been directed to grant the benefit of the second ACP and that the same be not withheld solely on the ground that the petitioners did not qualify the departmental examination for the post of Kanungo.

3 Learned State counsel is not in a position to dispute the aforesaid judgment.

4 Accordingly, the present writ petition is allowed in terms of **judgment dated 05.03.2025 passed in LPA No.1865 of 2015 titled as 'State of Haryana and others Vs. Parmanand and others.'**

March 19, 2025
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No