



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA-1503-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19th of May, 2025

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Appellant(s)

V/s.

INDERAWATI

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.,
for the appellants.

Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate to the respondent.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. The present LPA assails order dated 07.05.2019 passed in CWP-4963-2015 whereby the learned Single Bench having taken into consideration the judgment passed in CWP-20157-2013 titled as **Inderaj Singh and Others** Vs. **State of Haryana and Others** decided on 15.01.2018 and further taking into consideration that the respondent was initially regularized w.e.f. 01.04.1987 treating to be in service from 01.04.1986, allowed the Writ Petition of the respondent holding that the respondent would be entitled to the relief by fiction of reinstatement by the award of the Labour Court granting continuity in service with back-wages. Merely because she was not present for the period from 27.08.1987 to 21.09.1992, the benefit of regularization w.e.f. 01.04.1987 granted to her could not be withdrawn.

2. Learned State counsel submits that the decision taken by the Department is fair and justified as she was not working nor she was one of



the petitioners who had originally filed the Writ Petition i.e. CWP-4743-1986. However, we take note of the orders passed by the High Court which applied universally to all the Ticket Verifiers who were in service in the year 1986. Admittedly, the respondent was in service. She was initially appointed as Helper on 05.04.1984 and was absorbed as a Ticket Verifier w.e.f. 20.02.1986. Her services had been terminated illegally on 27.08.1987 and the Labour Court passed an award in her favour reinstating and continuity in service with full back-wages. Thus, she would be placed in the same category as all the other Ticket Verifiers who were appointed between 20.02.1986 and 27.08.1987. The Department had also considered her representation and regularized her w.e.f. 01.04.1987. There was no occasion to revise the date of regularization.

3. Therefore, the order passed by the learned Single Bench does not warrant any interference. The Appeal is accordingly dismissed. The respondent shall be treated to be regularized w.e.f. 01.04.1987.

4. All pending applications in this case are disposed of accordingly.

[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]
JUDGE

[MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA]
JUDGE

May 19, 2025
Ess Kay

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes	/	No