



**CWP-17403-2021 (O&M) and
CWP-1474-2023 (O&M)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(230)

Date of Decision : 16.01.2025

1. CWP-17403-2021 (O&M)

Sube Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

2. CWP-1474-2023 (O&M)

Krishan and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Mahavir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP-17403-2021.

None for the petitioners in CWP-1474-2023.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Arihant Goyal, Sr. Penal Counsel
for respondent No.1-Union of India and
respondent No.6 in both the petitions.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate
for respondents No.2, 5 and 6 in CWP-17403-2021 and
for the respondent No.3 in CWP-1474-2023.

Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate
for respondents No.7 to 20 in CWP-17403-2021.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Both the instant writ petitions are amenable to be decided
together, as the common relief has been sought therein.



CWP-17403-2021 (O&M) and
CWP-1474-2023 (O&M)

2. Through the instant writ petitions, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, seeking quashing of the impugned notification/order dated 31.03.2021 (Annexure P-6), passed by Special Secretary, PWD (B&R) and Architecture Department, Haryana, at Chandigarh, whereby, the proposal for constructing the railway over bridge was withdrawn, whereas, the proposal for constructing the railway under bridge was approved.
3. During the pendency of the instant writ petitions, 90% work/construction of the railway under bridge has already been completed.
4. Learned counsel for respondents No.2, 5 and 6 in CWP-17403-2021, and for the respondent No.3 in CWP-1474-2023, has placed on record the photographs today in the Court, regarding the work undertaken by them, with respect to completion of the railway under bridge. The said photographs are taken on the record.
5. Since the railway under bridge has already been constructed, therefore, the asked for prayer, as made in the instant writ petitions, cannot be granted to the petitioners, at this stage.
6. Consequently, both the above mentioned instant writ petitions are hereby **dismissed**.
7. Pending application, if any, also stands **disposed of** accordingly.
8. Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case file.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 16, 2025
Manpreet