



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CWP-13216-2016
Date of decision: 30.07.2025

KHYALI RAMPetitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Longia, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents-Union of India.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Seeking setting aside of the order dated 24.07.2015 whereby case of the petitioner had not been considered for promotion in consonance with the affidavit, the instant writ petition had been filed.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that vide letter No. J-II-1613/2024-Legal dated 11.10.2024, the respondents have decided as under:-

Basic Pay June 2016	Basic Pay on July 2016 by granting him annual increment on 01.07.2016
Rs. 9790/- (Grade Pay 2400/-)	Rs. 10160/- (Grade Pay 2400/-



After sanction of 3 rd MACP to the petitioner	
Rs. 12410/- (Grade Pay 4200/-)	Rs. 12910/- (Grade Pay 4200/-)

3. He submits that since the 3rd MACP has already been granted by the respondents w.e.f. 01.07.2016 and the basic pay has been fixed at Rs. 12910/- with grade pay of Rs. 4200/-, the petitioner having retired on 31.01.2023, the grievance of the petitioner would now survive only to a limited extent that the respondents revise the retiral benefits admissible to the petitioner in a time bound manner and to release the same. I find that the aforesaid prayer is fair.

4. The present writ petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to the respondents to compute the retiral benefits of the petitioner in terms of the order dated 11.10.2024 and after revising the basic pay of the petitioner. The needful be done by the respondents within a period of three months of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JULY 30, 2025
Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No