



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CWP-13185-2016

Date of Decision: 20.08.2025

Union of India and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Pawan Kumar and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Ms. Jyoti Choudhary, Senior Panel Counsel
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S.Rawat, Advocate for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the issue raised in the present petition with regard to the challenge to the order dated 13.01.2016 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh, is now covered by the judgment wherein the same question was raised before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Union of India vs. C.R.Madhava Murthy, (2022) 6 SCC 183***, and as per the said judgment, the view taken by the Central Administrative Tribunal is in consonance with the judgment in ***C.R.Madhava Murthy's case (supra)*** hence, the present petition may kindly be dismissed.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to dispute the same.



CWP-13185-2016

-2-

3. Keeping in view the above, as the issue raised in the present petition, is covered as per the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in . ***C.R.Madhava Murthy's case (supra)***, the present petition is dismissed in terms of the decision in ***C.R.Madhava Murthy's case (supra)***.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

August 20, 2025

Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No