

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****122****FAO-4194-2022 (O&M)****Date of Decision : 25.03.2025**

Manjeet Kaur and Others

....Appellants

VERSUS

Shashi Dureja and Others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Punit Jain, Advocate for respondent No.3.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)**CM-12731-CII-2022**

1. This is an application for condonation of delay of 13 days in filing the appeal.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 13 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

FAO-4194-2022

3. Present appeal has been preferred by the claimant-appellants aggrieved by the quantum of compensation awarded by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Karnal (hereinafter referred to as the 'Tribunal') vide award dated 24.03.2022 on account of death of Vikramjeet Singh (hereinafter referred to as the 'deceased').

4. Since the facts, as recorded in the impugned award passed by the Tribunal, are not in dispute, the same are not being reproduced herein for the sake of brevity.

5. The Tribunal in the present case had awarded the following compensation :

Sr. No.	Heads	Compensation Awarded
1	Monthly income after addition of 40% towards loss of future prospects	Rs.12,600/-
2	Deduction – 1/3 rd	[12,600 – 4,200] = Rs.8,400/-
3	Compensation after multiplier of 15 is applied	[Rs.8,400 x 12 x 15] = Rs.15,12,000
4	Loss of consortium payable to the widow only i.e. petitioner No.1	Rs.40,000/-
5	Loss of estate	Rs.15,000/-
6	Funeral expenses	Rs.15,000/-
	Total compensation	Rs.15,82,000/-
	Interest	6% per annum

6. Learned counsel for the claimant-appellants would contend that though he does not challenge the income, deduction, addition of future prospects and multiplier as applied by the Tribunal, however, the amounts awarded under the conventional heads as well as under the head ‘loss of consortium’ are not in accordance with the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court. In support of his contention, he has relied upon the judgments of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the cases of **National Insurance Company Ltd. vs. Pranay Sethi & Ors. [(2017) 16 SCC 680]**, **Magma General Insurance Company Limited vs. Nanu Ram alias Chuhru Ram & Ors. [(2018) 18 SCC 130]** and **N. Jayasree & Ors. vs. Chola mandalam M.S General Insurance Company Ltd. [2021(4) RCR (Civil) 642]**.

7. *Per contra*, learned counsel for respondent No.3-Insurance Company has vehemently argued that sufficient amount has already been

awarded as compensation in the present case and that there is no scope of any enhancement.

8. Heard.

9. In the present case no appeal has been filed by respondent No.3-Insurance Company. Since there is no challenge to the income, deduction, addition towards future prospects and the multiplier as applied by the Tribunal, the same is accordingly maintained. The amounts awarded under the conventional heads and under the head 'loss of consortium' are not as per the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of **Pranay Sethi** (supra), **Magma General Insurance Company Limited** (supra) and **N. Jayasree** (supra) and hence the claimant-appellants would be entitled to Rs.18,000/- (Rs.15,000+20% increase) towards loss of estate and Rs.18,000/- (Rs.15,000+20% increase) towards funeral expenses and the claimant-appellants would also be entitled to Rs.48,000/- each (Rs.40,000+20% increase) towards loss of consortium.

10. Accordingly, the reworked compensation is as under :

Sr. No.	Heads	Compensation Awarded
1	Monthly income	Rs.9,000/-
2	Annual income	[Rs. 9,000 x 12] = Rs.1,08,000/-
3	Deduction 1/3 rd	[Rs.1,08,000 – 36,000] = Rs.72,000/-
4	Future prospects @ 40%	[Rs.72,000 + 28,800] = Rs.1,00,800/-
5	Multiplier of 15	[Rs.1,00,800 x 15] = Rs.15,12,000/-
6	Loss of estate	Rs.18,000/-
7	Funeral expenses	Rs.18,000/-
8	Loss of consortium (i) Spousal (ii) Parental (iii) Filial	Rs.48,000/- Rs.48,000/- Rs.48,000/- Rs.1,44,000/-
	Total	Rs.16,92,000/-

11. The amount in excess of and over and above the amount awarded by the Tribunal shall also attract interest @ 7.5% per annum from the date of filing of the present appeal till the realization of the entire amount.

12. In view of the decision by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Parminder Singh vs. Honey Goyal & Ors. [2025 INSC 361 : Civil Appeal No.4299 of 2025 arising out of SLP (C) No.4484 of 2020 decided on 18.03.2025]**, after calculation of the enhanced amount, the same be transferred by the Insurance Company in the bank account(s) of the claimants within six weeks from today and the apportionment thereof shall be as per the percentage directed by the Tribunal and the share of the minor claimant (appellant No.2 herein) shall be kept in fixed deposits by the Bank concerned. The particulars of the bank account(s) alongwith the requisite documents(s) in support thereof shall be furnished by the claimants to the Insurance company within a period of two weeks from the date of this order and needful shall be done by the Insurance Company after verification thereof within four weeks thereafter alongwith up-to-date interest. The compliance shall be reported by the Bank to the Tribunal concerned.

13. In view of the above discussion, the award passed by the Tribunal is modified and the present appeal stands allowed accordingly. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

25.03.2025
jk

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO