

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

132

CRWP-5032-2025
Decided on: 19.05.2025

Tamanna Yadav and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Tamanna Yadav	Aman Punia
Age (in years)	20 years	27 years
Date of marriage	07.05.2025	
Rite	Hindu rites	
Representation dated	12.05.2025 (P-4)	
Submitted to	Respondents No.2-The Superintendent of Police, District Rewari	
Family members	Respondents No. 4 to 9	

arrayed as	
------------	--

2. In compliance to the order dated 15.05.2025 passed by this Court, photographs of marriage have been filed in the Registry. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 12.05.2025 (Annexure P-4), to respondent No.2, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.
4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.
5. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.
6. Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4, and files his *vakalatnama* in Court today, which is taken on record.
6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Superintendent of Police, District Rewari, to look into the representation dated 12.05.2025 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.
7. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**CRWP-5032-2025****3**

After examining the situation in entirety, Authorities may also look for the viability of arranging the meeting of petitioner No.1 with her parents in its office, and accordingly, may proceed to do so.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 19, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**