

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:148270



213

**CRM-M-26589-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.10.2025.**

Mangat Singh @ Maninder Singh @ Babbu

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.S. Brar, Advocate
for the complainant.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.53 dated 25.04.2025 under Sections 108, 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

As per prosecution case, the FIR in this case has been registered on the basis of statement of complainant Sukhdev Sigh, alleging therein that he is a government teacher and was blessed with two sons. His elder son Rajdeep Singh is unmarried, whereas his younger son Amardeep Singh @ Ambu performed love marriage with Jyoti Rani about 7 years ago. Out of the said marriage one son, namely, Aadil was born. Jyoti Rani is running a Beauty Parlour. For the last three years, relationship between

Amardeep Singh @ Ambu and Jyoti Rani turned sour as there was suspicion that Jyoti Rani had illicit relations with Maninder @ Babbu. She was caught by Amardeep Singh, while using mobile phone sneakily. However with the intervention of the Panchayat the matter was patched up but she did not mend her ways, Amardeep Singh used to remain upset due to it. He ended his life by hanging on 24.04.2025 at about 6.00 P.M., leaving behind a suicide note. It was alleged that deceased committed suicide due to the harassment of Jyoti Rani, Maninder @ Babbu, Bimla Rani and Harpreet Singh @ Manga. Hence, the present FIR was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. There was no instigation at his part in committing of suicide by the deceased. There is no material on record that the petitioner was in illicit relationship with the wife of the deceased. He prayed that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and he is ready and willing to join the investigation and the present petition be allowed.

Learned State counsel has opposed the petition and submitted that there are specific allegations against the petitioner that he was having illicit relations with the wife of the deceased due to which he had committed suicide and as such he is not entitled to the concession of bail.

Heard.

The petitioner has not been specifically named in the alleged suicide note left by the deceased Amardeep Singh @ Ambu. No such material has been placed on record that the petitioner had abetted or instigated the commission of suicide by the deceased. Even no material regarding alleged illicit relationship between co-accused Jyoti Rani and the

petitioner has been brought on record. He was not alleged to be present at the time of the occurrence. The complicity of the petitioner is to be decided during the trial.

Moreover, learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that the present case has been got registered due to some misunderstanding and now the matter has been settled between the parties and has also produced on record the compromise deed dated 28.10.2025.

The custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for any purpose and no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, on his furnishing bail/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions, as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

However, nothing observed herein above shall be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. The observations recorded above are only for the purpose of deciding the present bail application.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

29.10.2025.

Komal Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
 Whether reportable? : Yes/ No