



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

**CM-7497-LPA-2025 in/&
LPA-1422-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.10.2025**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Appellants

Versus

M/S GILL RICE MILLS AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate, for applicant/respondent No.1.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)**CM-7497-LPA-2025**

The present application has been filed for preponing the hearing
of the main appeal, which now stands deferred to 27.01.2026.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on
behalf of the non-applicant/appellant and raises no objection for preponing
the hearing of the main appeal.

On joint request, the hearing the main appeal is preponed from
27.01.2026 to today itself.

CM stand disposed of.

**LPA-1422-2024 (O&M)**

1. In the present appeal, the challenge is to the order dated 16.11.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge in CWP-24007-2023 preferred by respondent No.1 directing the appellants/respondents to consider respondent No.1/petitioner for allotment of paddy by treating the petitioner unit having two metric tonnes installed capacity.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 submits that the issue with regard to the release of the paddy in favour of respondent No.1 pertains only to the year 2023-24, which season has already elapsed. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 further submits that for the current years, respondent No.1 will prove before the competent authority that the capacity of its plant is two metric tonnes and in case, he is able to prove the same, the appellant be directed to take into consideration the said capacity of the plant while allotting the paddy.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that in case, respondent No.1 is able to prove that the capacity of its plant is two metric tonnes, the allotment of the paddy shall be made according to the said fact.

4. In case, respondent No.1 has already applied for the allotment of paddy for the current year, it shall be at liberty to claim the paddy on the basis of two metric tonnes, in case respondent No.1 is able to prove the said assertion before the authority concerned.

5. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that keeping in view the undertaking given by the counsel for respondent No.1, the present appeal



may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further.

- 6. Ordered accordingly.
- 7. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 17, 2025
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No