



105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1641-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22nd August, 2025

SURENDER SINGH

.....Appellant(s)

V/s.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate, assisted by
Mr. Sandeep Dhull, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned Single Bench has dismissed the Writ Petition, filed by the appellant-Writ Petitioner, relying upon judgments passed in similar other petitions, against which LPA has also been dismissed on 15.07.2016.

2. The issue was with regard to certificates issued by the Board of Higher Secondary Education, Delhi. The Division Bench of this Court, in LPA-1204-2016, has also dismissed similar matters and sustained the view which has been taken by the learned Single Bench.

3. Today, learned Senior counsel appearing for the appellant, has placed before us an order dated 15.05.2025 passed by the Division Bench of the Allahabad High Court in *Special Appeal Defective No.297 of 2025*, titled as **Mirtaunjay Singh Yadav** Vs. **State of Uttar Pradesh and Others**, wherein also, the same issue was examined with reference to relevant notifications issued regarding grant of recognition to such Board. The relevant extract of the said judgment is reproduced as under:-

“6. *The instructions of CBSE Board coupled with the notifications of 30.06.1962 leaves no room of doubt that after 01.07.1962 Board of Higher Secondary Education, Delhi has no*

separate and distinct existence of its own. Once that be so, any additional certificate issued by the educational institutions owing affiliation to Board of High Secondary Education, Delhi would clearly be invalid and would not be recognized for determining eligibility of candidates for appearing in different examinations.

7. *In view of the above, we do not find any good ground to interfere with the judgment of learned Single Judge. Consequently, the present Appeals fail and are dismissed.”*

4. In view of the above, we find no merit in the appeal which consequently **dismissed**.

5. At this stage, learned senior counsel states that the appellant has a certificate of high school from the National Institute of Open Schooling (NIOS). In the event appellant has a valid high school certificate from any other recognized Board, it would be always open to him to apply afresh for grant of driving licence, which shall be dealt with by the authorities concerned in accordance with law.

6. All pending applications, if any, in this case are disposed of accordingly.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

August 22, 2025
Ess Kay

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>