



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

**1. CWP No.17190 of 2019
Date of decision : 15.5.2025**

Rakesh KumarPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

2. CWP No.17427-2019

Randhir SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

3. CWP No.17937 of 2019

SumanPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

4. CWP No.17964 of 2019

Sushil KumarPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

5. CWP No.17975 of 2019

Satish Kumar SharmaPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents



6. CWP No.18659 of 2019

Netrapal KhatriPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

7. CWP No.20029 of 2019

Subhash Verma and othersPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

8. CWP No.20049 of 2019

Ashok KumarPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

9. CWP No.20405 of 2019

Rakesh Kumar and othersPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

10.CWP No.20813 of 2019

Hemant KumarPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

11.CWP No.20871 of 2019

Deepak and anotherPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents



12.CWP No.20873 of 2019

Sandeep Malik and anotherPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

13.CWP No.18654 of 2019

Sanjeev Kumar and othersPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

14.CWP No.20882 of 2019

Rishi Raj and anotherPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

15.CWP No.20713 of 2019

Manish SharmaPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

16.CWP No.23164 of 2019

Vivek SharmaPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

17.CWP No.18673 of 2019

Ritu Batra and othersPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents



18.CWP No.18693 of 2019

Vikram SinghPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

19.CWP No.18653 of 2019

Amit Kumar and othersPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

20.CWP No.18888 of 2019

Sudesh KumariPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

21.CWP No.18918 of 2019

Navinder KumarPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

22.CWP No.19009 of 2019

Suresh KumarPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

23.CWP No.19128 of 2019

Lokesh Bhati and othersPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents



24.CWP No.19295 of 2019 (O&M)

Kanchan LataPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

25.CWP No.19298 of 2019

Ramdutt SharmaPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

26.CWP No.20074 of 2019

Naresh Kumar and othersPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

27.CWP No.20785 of 2019

All Haryana Attorneys Welfare Association (Regd.), Haryana and anotherPetitioners
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

28.CWP No.18877 of 2019

Vikas GautamPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

29.CWP No.21422 of 2019

Uttam DhaliaPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents



30.CWP No.20001 of 2020

Jaswinder Singh**Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana and others**Respondents**

31.CWP No.18324 of 2019

Dilbag Singh and others**Petitioners**

Versus

State of Haryana and another**Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate and
Mr. Viren Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWPs-17190, 17975, 20813, 20871 and 18693-2019.

Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Chadha, Advocate,
Ms. Komal Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-19295-2019.

Ms. Samridhi Jain, Advocate
Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate for the petitioner
in CWP-20882-2019.

Mr. Hitesh Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP-18324-2019.

Mr. Aman Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP-19009-2019.

Mr. Naresh Kumar Chhokhar, Advocate for
Mr. Ram Bhati, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP-17427, 17937-2019.

Mr. Yuvraj Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP-20074-2019



Mr. KJS Bhullar, Advocate, for
Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate and
Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner
In CWP-20049 and 18654-2019

Mr. Himanshu Arora, Advocate and
Ms. Iarisa Carmen Suting, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CWP-21422-2019

Mr. Ritender Rathee, Advocate, for
Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate, for the petitioner
In CWP-20785-2019

Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner
In CWPs no.18918 and 20873-2019

Ms. Sumitra, Advocate and
Ms. Vanshika, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner
In CWP-18673-2019

Mr. Lovepreet Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate, for the petitioner
In CWP-18659-2019

Mr. Nilesh Kant Goyal, Advocate, for
Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner
In CWP-20405-2019

Mr. Sushil Dhull, Advocate, for
Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner
In CWP-18888-2019

Ms. Jyoti Chahal, Advocate for
Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Shubham Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate, for respondents no.4 & 5
In CWP-20813-2019

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. AG, Haryana

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate, for the respondent-HPSC

**SHEEL NAGU, CJ. (ORAL)**

1. This order shall dispose of the above numbered 31 petitions together as the common question of law and facts are involved therein.

2. Learned counsel for the rival parties do not dispute that the cause raised herein has become infructuous.

3. Before parting with the order, it is essential to state the brief facts of the case:

The challenge in these petitions is to the Vires of Rules 8-B and 10 of the Haryana Civil Services (Executive Branch) Rules, 2008 alongwith other prayers.

4. By an interim order passed by this Court on 11.7.2019, the petitioners were provisionally allowed to participate in the written exam which was an objective type test.

5. Pursuant to the aforesaid interim order, all the petitioners appeared in the written examination on the date scheduled for the examination but the results of all the petitioners has been kept in a sealed cover.

6. Respondent no.2 in its reply has revealed, *inter alia*, that the petitioners, who were provisionally allowed to appear in the examination, could not come within the zone of consideration for being called for interview for appointment for the post of Haryana Civil Services (Executive Branch).

7. In view of the above, deciding the question of vires would be an academic exercise which this Court would not like to undertake.



CWP No.17190 of 2019 and
Connected matters

-9-

8. Keeping the question of law open, the petitions have become infructuous and are dismissed as such.
9. Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case files.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

15.5.2025
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No