



**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1) CWP-16983-2021
Date of decision: 19.09.2025

Puneet SangwanPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

2) CWP-17125-2021

Kamal Singh DuhanPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Ankur Dua, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Arun Kumar Singla, Asstt.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Arnav Goel, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.3-DHBVN.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This common order shall dispose of the aforementioned civil writ petitions as they arise from a similar factual matrix. However, for the sake of brevity, the facts are taken from CWP-16983-2021.

2. The present civil writ petition(s) has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the impugned result dated 22.04.2021 (Annexure P-9) for the post of JSE of DHBVN, Haryana.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the controversy involved in the present case is squarely covered by the Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.16904 of 2021 titled as 'Neeraj Vs. State of Haryana and another' regarding socio economic criteria.



4. Learned State counsel is not in a position to controvert the same that the controversy involved in the present case is squarely covered by the judgment of the Division Bench in *Neeraj's case (supra)*. However, he submits that the review of the judgment rendered by the Division Bench of this Court, has been sought by filing review application bearing No. RA-CW-379-2025 in CWP No.16904 of 2021.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, it transpires that the issue involved in the present controversy has been authoritatively settled by the Division Bench of this Court in *Neeraj's case (supra)* and this Court is bound by the judicial precedent laid down by the Division Bench. Further, mere filing of the review application would not dilute or dislodge the ratio *decidendi* of the Division Bench judgment in *Neeraj's case (supra)*.

6. In view of the above, the present civil writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner(s) and pass an appropriate speaking order in terms of the judgment of the Division Bench judgment in *Neeraj's case (supra)*. However, it is made clear that in case any modification is made in the judgment of the Division Bench in the review application, the benefits, if any, admissible to the petitioner(s), would be subject to the outcome of the same.

7. A photo copy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

19.09.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No