



215 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26466-2025

Date of Decision:21.05.2025

Lovepreet Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.39 dated 21.02.2025 registered under Sections 333, 115(2), 351(2), 296, 191(3), 190 of BNS and Section 4 of Punjab Protection of Medical Service Persons and Medical Service Institutions Bill, 2008, at Police Station City Jagraon, Police District Ludhiana (Rural), Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and no role has been attributed to him. He further contends that Jagdev Singh alias Gugni and Jasvir Singh alias Jassi, co-accused were arrested in the present case and had allegedly named the petitioner as their accomplice, in their respective disclosure statements. He further contends that all the injuries suffered by injured in the present case are simple in nature and have been admittedly manipulated by them, in collusion with the doctors. Even Jagdev Singh Gugni and Jasvir Singh, both the main accused have already

been arrested by the police in the present case. Thus, custody of the petitioner will not serve any meaningful purpose.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, all the injuries suffered by Dr. Kishan Singh, Rajinder Singh, Hardev Singh and Prabhjot Singh have already been declared to be simple in nature. The petitioner is resident of Ludhiana and there are no chances of fleeing from the process of justice. Even, the custodial interrogation may not be required of the petitioner.

6. Without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

21.05.2025

hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No