



CRM-M-26309-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CRM-M-26309-2025

Decided on:- 06.08.2025

Tarsem Singh

....Petitioner

Versus**State of Punjab**

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. R.P. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amrit Kaur Mahir, AAG, Punjab.

* * * * *

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner Tarsem Singh has filed petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 39 dated 09.04.2025 under Section 79, 85, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Facts of the case are, complainant Sukhdev Singh stated that his daughter Sandeep Kaur is married to Sukhchain Singh, who were living in New Zealand. Their marriage was performed on 27.09.2022. He had given gold ornaments to his son-in-law and daughter and other relatives as detailed in FIR. He had spent huge money at the time of marriage. His son-in-law Sukhchain Singh left for New Zealand. His daughter stayed with them. After two and a half months, mother of Sukhchain Singh and Sandeep Kaur also went to New Zealand. He had given Rs. 2,50,000/- for sending his daughter to New Zealand and further spent money on buying



ticket and further gave money for currency exchange. On reaching New Zealand on 27.06.2023, she called her husband Sukhchain Singh who did not pick up the phone. She purchased a sim in New Zealand and again called him, who on hearing her voice again kept the phone down. His daughter informed him that her husband was not picking up the phone. She hired a taxi and reached the house. After few days of her stay, her mother-in-law told her that she was to bring money from her father. She expressed her inability to satisfy their demand and on this she was turned out of the house. She lodged report with police in New Zealand and started residing with their relative Amritpal Singh. Husband refused to take her back in matrimonial home. His daughter took admission in a study course in New Zealand and for this he had spent Rs. 13 lacs. In the meantime, father of Sukhchain Singh being Power of Attorney holder filed a divorce case in the Courts at Amritsar. He never received any process in village nor his daughter received the summons. He managed to get *ex parte* decree of divorce. His son-in-law made a fake ID and posted her daughter's wedding photographs on social media and wrote abusive language and started defaming her and family. Matter was reported to the police and thereafter, present case has been registered.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that in pursuance of previous order dated 14.05.2025, petitioner has already joined investigation. He has not committed any offence. Petitioner is ready to join investigation as and when required.

4. Status report has been filed. It is confirmed that petitioner has joined investigation on 22.05.2025. On the instructions of ASI Parminder Singh, Sub Division Raja Sansi, District Amritsar, learned counsel



CRM-M-26309-2025

-3-

representing State of Punjab confirmed that petitioner is not required for further investigation.

5. In view of aforesaid factual position, no purpose would be served by sending petitioner behind the bars. He has fully cooperated with Investigating Agency and he is still ready to do so. Therefore, anticipatory bail petition filed by petitioner Tarsem Singh is allowed. He be not arrested. In case of his arrest, he be released on bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer concerned, subject to condition that petitioner will join investigation as and when required. He will not tamper with or interfere with investigation and will not leave country without prior permission as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

06.08.2025*lalit***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No